To provide recommendations for the appropriate use of class rank, GPA, and grading for the purposes of measuring and comparing student academic achievement and to promote fairness and equity in college admissions procedures.

Efforts to improve the academic achievement of all students and prepare each and every student for college and career readiness have rendered past methods of sorting and comparing students obsolete. School leaders, parents, and school boards are concerned that limited access to advanced classes and unevenness of school grading policies could lead to large differences in class rank—and hurt student prospects for admission. As such, schools have increasingly sought to stop reporting class rank.

The current use of student GPA to calculate class rank has limited value in college admissions due to the fact it only shows student comparison within a particular school. The 2018 State of College Admission report issued by the National Association for College Admission Counseling found that while the factors used to evaluate
applications have remained fairly consistent for 20 years, class rank has been declining in importance. In fact, only 1 in 3 admissions counselors identified class rank as even moderately important in the admissions process.

Colleges and universities have responded by developing their own systems of ranking students and calculating projected class ranks. In addition, a number of universities recalculate student GPA by either adding or removing weighting to college-level courses or calculating GPA based solely on core academic courses.

Currently, however, there are conflicting trends concerning how class rank is reported. As schools and districts moved to eliminate class rank and sought to increase the number of students admitted to competitive state colleges and universities, some state lawmakers—most notably in Texas and California—passed statutes requiring that students be admitted to state universities on the basis of class rank. These statutes reflect a desire to increase the diversity of students who attend state universities and to open enrollment at state universities to a wider range of individual schools across a state.

For example, about 70 percent of the students at the University of Texas at Austin were granted admission based on their class rank in 2016. Under that state admissions policy, the number of different high schools within the state sending students to the University of Texas has also risen dramatically. Previously, students from low-performing, high-poverty, mostly urban schools had difficulty gaining admission to competitive universities. It appears that using class rank as one factor for admission to competitive colleges does increase diversity and results in a wider range of high schools sending students to those universities.

GUIDING PRINCIPLES

- Schools should hold high expectations and promote academic excellence for each student, not a select few.
- Each student should graduate from high school with the knowledge and skills to help them succeed in college, career, and life.
- To be able to prosper in an interdependent world, each student should acquire a body of essential knowledge and skills, including literacy and math skills. To this end, schools should encourage students to assume a well-rounded, rigorous, and challenging course of study that helps them to be global citizens.
- High-quality standards and assessments with valid comparisons of student progress should measure what students know and are able to do—and enable valid comparisons between students in different schools across the country.
- Schools should encourage and recognize academic excellence in a spirit of cooperation, not intense competition that sets one student against another.
Grading policies should directly impact the delivery of instruction.

School leaders should implement the Building Ranks™ framework for school leadership to help them build and sustain a healthy culture and lead learning within their specific communities that will prepare each child for success in college, citizenship, career, and life.

RECOMMENDATIONS

When making decisions regarding grading, GPA, and the use of class rank, school leaders should take into consideration the context in which their school operates—including state laws, college and university admission requirements, community attitudes, and what best meets the needs of the students.

GRADING

Grades should be an accurate measure of course mastery and what students know and are able to do, which will help teachers give students and parents feedback on what academic areas need improvement.

Grading practices should encourage and motivate each student to take rigorous courses and should not be punitive in nature.

Particular attention should be paid to grading practices related to behavioral issues and homework—which should be viewed as independent practice.

Students learn at different rates and should be given the time and assistance they need to master course content.

GRADE POINT AVERAGE

School policies and practices relating to the formulation of a student GPA should:

- Include all courses completed by the student
- Comprise all high school-level courses including those taken while attending a middle level school (examples would include algebra and world language courses)
- Be an accurate reflection of academic rigor
- Contribute to a valid comparison of class rank
- Include provisions for transfer students
POSITION STATEMENT: CLASS RANK, GPA, AND GRADING

WEIGHTING

When considering the weighting of grades, schools should ensure that:

- All students are encouraged to take a more rigorous course of study and be appropriately recognized for doing so.
- Weighted grades are not used to sort students and determine who receives recognition.
- Students are not discouraged from taking nonweighted courses.
- Weighting of course grades should be applied only to externally moderated courses such as AP, IB, or dual-enrollment courses in which it is possible to ensure the content and quality of course content between schools and among individual teachers.
- The decision to weight grades should be accompanied by open enrollment policies in all externally moderated courses including AP, IB, and dual-enrollment courses. The failure to do so:
  a. Stratifies student opportunity
  b. Represents a new form of tracking
  c. Creates competition for scarce resources
  d. Disadvantages schools that are able to offer few AP or IB courses
- Students receiving weighted credit should be required to complete the course and to complete the summative assessment.

CLASS RANK

- A system of class rank should not carry an underlying assumption that academic success is a scarce commodity available only to a select few students. Class rankings should only occur if the recommendations above for grading, GPA, and weighting are in place.
- Class rank should be cumulative. The rank should include all courses taken by the student and not be limited to a select few core courses. In addition, class rankings should include all students within a given class.
- The system of calculating class rank should allow students to improve through persistence and hard work.
- Alternatives to reporting class rank include but are not limited to the following:
  a. Grade distribution of the class including the range and median GPA
  b. Estimated rank
  c. Ranking of core courses only
  d. Reporting of AP results
  e. Latin honor system, which requires a specific GPA to graduate cum laude, magna cum laude, or summa cum laude